#### FACULTY EVALUATION

- 1. <u>Purpose</u>. The overall purpose of the faculty evaluation process is to advance the mission of William Carey University. Evaluations are part of the process that will insure that students receive quality instruction by competent professionals in every academic program offered by William Carey University. Specific purposes include:
  - a. Stimulate personal and professional growth on the part of the individual faculty member by designing strategies to maximize strengths and to overcome weaknesses;
  - b. Strengthen the academic effectiveness of the University by relating the work of the faculty member more closely to its institutional mission;
  - c. Create and nourish a context in which sound, objective decisions may be made in such areas as promotion in rank, award of tenure, granting of sabbatical leaves, awarding of salary increases, and planning of retirement.
- 2. <u>Criteria</u>. The two central concerns of each faculty evaluation are: (1) the quality of personal and professional growth on the part of the individual; and (2) the extent to which this growth enables the University to fulfill its institutional mission and the school/department to achieve its goals and objectives.
  - Factors to be considered in addressing these concerns are: (1) Teaching effectiveness; (2) Scholarship; (3) Service to the University; (4) Service to the Community; and (5) Christian commitment.
- 3. Sources of Evaluation. Faculty evaluations include input from the following:
  - a. Self-evaluation
    - (1) Professional Development Plan Each year, faculty submit a Professional Development Plan with measurable goals for each of the five areas of the University mission: 1) Teaching; 2) Scholarship; 3) Service to the University; 4) Service to the Community; and 5) Christian commitment.
    - (2) Faculty Report There are two phases of the Faculty Report for evaluation purposes.
      - A) During the faculty member's first three years at William Carey University, s/he will submit the complete long-form evaluation (Attachments B and D below).
      - B) Beginning in the fourth year, and assuming that the faculty member demonstrates satisfactory results on evaluations in years one through three, s/he may submit the short-form evaluation (Attachments C and E below).
    - (3) Curriculum Vita (CV) Each year, faculty also submit a current CV.
  - b. Student evaluation In every course, students of each faculty member are given the opportunity to evaluate professional performance on a suitable rating instrument. These evaluations will be administered online during weeks six and seven of the regular tenweek term, and week three of five-week courses. Evaluations will also be administered online after the third day of J- and May-term one-week courses.

Revised 2013

- c. Supervisory evaluation The school dean or designee prepares a written evaluation based on a study of the faculty member's self-evaluation, on current student evaluations, and on an annual academic performance review with the faculty member.
- 4. <u>Procedure.</u> All of the required documents are to be submitted electronically as email attachments. The annual process described earlier may be implemented in accordance with this timetable:
  - a. December 15<sup>th</sup> Deadline for faculty to submit self-evaluations for the academic year.
  - b. February 1<sup>st</sup> Deadline for deans to submit evaluations to the Vice President of Academic Affairs, with a copy to the faculty member.
  - c. Recommendations in all areas of faculty evaluation are prepared for review by the President, and with his concurrence, by the Board of Trustees. Faculty are then notified of decisions.
- 5. <u>Right of Appeal</u>. Since annual faculty evaluations form the cumulative basis for major decisions regarding retention, advancement, and tenure, it is important to reach clear understandings on which all parties concur. When significant differences arise between faculty member and supervisor regarding the conclusions of an annual evaluation, the grievant may submit a written request for further review to the President within thirty days of receiving the evaluation.
- 6. <u>Part-time Faculty</u>. Part-time faculty, those under annual contract for less than full-time teaching, must meet all requirements of evaluation and participate fully in the annual faculty evaluation process.
- 7. <u>Adjunct Faculty.</u> Adjunct faculty members, those under contract solely for short-term teaching assignments, are to be evaluated at the discretion of the dean or by request from the adjunct faculty member.

Revised 2013



#### **ATTACHMENT A**

# PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN -YEAR-

## NAME AND CREDENTIALS

TITLE/POSITION

#### I. Personal/Professional Mission Statement

#### II. Goals for YEAR

- A. Teaching
  - Specific
  - Measurable
  - Focus on student/learner outcomes
- B. Scholarship
  - Specific
  - Measurable
  - Reflect expectations of specific discipline
- C. Service to the University
  - Specific
- Measurable
- D. Service to the Community
  - Specific
  - Measurable
- E. Christian Commitment

Faculty Signature		Date	
Supervisor's	s Signature	_ Date	
Original: Copy:	Vice President of Academic Affairs Dean Faculty Member		

Revised 2013

#### **ATTACHMENT B**

## FACULTY REPORT OF PROFESSIONAL GOALS – LONG FORM -YEAR-

## NAME AND CREDENTIALS TITLE/POSITION

#### **Teaching**

Goals – Restate goals from Professional Development Plan of year under evaluation. Evaluation – State how *each* goal was met, not met, or revised.

Additional Achievements (Include any online teaching activities, such as online assignments, online course enhancements, and teaching an entire course online)

#### **Scholarship**

Goals

**Evaluation** 

**Additional Achievements** 

### Service to the University

Goals

Evaluation

Additional Achievements (Include any recruiting activities, and any leadership roles in the university)

### **Service to the Community**

Goals

**Evaluation** 

Additional Achievements

#### **Christian Commitment**

Goals

Evaluation

Additional Achievements (Include how Christian principles are incorporated into classes)

Faculty Signature		Date	
Supervisor's Signature		_ Date	
Original:	Vice President of Academic Affairs		

Copy: Dean

Faculty Member

## ATTACHMENT C

# FACULTY REPORT OF PROFESSIONAL GOALS – SHORT FORM -YEAR-

#### NAME AND CREDENTIALS

TITLE/POSITION (YEARS ON FACULTY AT WILL AM COKEY UNIVERSITY)

Teaching I met my tea	aching goalsYESNO	
Clarifying C	Comments:	
	als for scholarship and professional dev	elopmentYESNO
Clarifying C	comments:	
	the University als for service to the University.	YESNO
Clarifying C	Comments:	
	the Community als for service to the community.	YESNO
Clarifying C	Comments:	
	Commitment als for honoring my Christian Commitm	nentYESNO
Clarifying C	Comments:	
Faculty Sign	nature	Date
Supervisor's	s Signature	_ Date
Original: Copy:	Vice President of Academic Affairs Dean Faculty Member	

# ATTACHMENT D Supervisory Evaluation – Long Form



Faculty Member	Date
Rating Scale: Faculty performance i	s
	3 = Exceeds expectations
	2 = Meets expectations
	1 = Below expectations
	NA = Not applicable (Please explain in comments.)
Directions: Indicate rating in the bl	ank for each measure using the scale above.
1) Teaching	
<u> </u>	command of material; possesses broad and deep ses the course with academic authority and
	teaching; generates student enthusiasm; encourages ves; inspires maximum learning.
	nunicates learning outcomes; well prepared for imum 10 hours/week); meets class on time and period.
•	ordial, fair, and impartial; respects students as for individual student progress
e. Realistic Requirements -mai	ntains rigorous and realistic academic standards.
<ul> <li>f. Syllabi – Uses appropriate sy guidelines.</li> </ul>	ellabi in keeping with university and departmental  Yes No
Overall Teaching Effectiveness	
Comments	

2) S	Schola	rship (Professional Activity and Development)
	a.	Interest and Initiative – Participates actively and effectively in the department and school, offering good insights, creative suggestions, and constructive criticisms. Where applicable, the faculty member adheres to state and national accreditation standards.
	b.	Collegiality – Is considerate and understanding, and works harmoniously with colleagues.
	c.	Scholarship – Maintains an active interest in his/her academic area; this interest manifests itself in professionally meaningful ways.  (Specific ways for this faculty member are listed below)
		Self-Evaluation Plan – Annually submits required documentationYesNo
O	veral	Professional Activity and Development
Com	ment	S
3) \$	Servic	e to the University
<b>a</b> )	his	titutional Effectiveness – Positively supports the academic leadership of /her department and school, and serves as an effective public representative the university.
<b>b</b> )	) Stı	ident Support – Actively supports student campus life and organizations.
c)	) Ac	ademic Advisement – Completes accurate academic advising.
d)		volvement – Actively participates in campus faculty matters (committees, setings, assignments
e)		volvement – Actively participates in campus faculty matters (committees, meetings, signments).
O	veral	Service to the University

Co	mm	nents	
-			
4)	Co	ommunity Service	
	a)	Participation – Participates in community or civic activities.	
	b)	Support – Encourages student service in the community.	
	Ove	erall Community Service	
Co	mm	nents	
5)	Ch	ristian Commitment	
	a)	Commitment – Consistently reflects Christian integrity and values to colleagues and students.	
	b)	Churchmanship – Actively participates in a church.	
	c)	Dedication to University Mission – Supports the Christian mission of the University.	
	d)	Participation – Participates in spiritual emphases events of the University.	
	Ov	verall Christian Commitment	
Co	mm	nents	
			_

Recommend	dations:		
Signature of	f Evaluator	Date	·
I concur/do	not concur (circle) with the results of the evaluation.		
Comments:			
Faculty Men	mber	Date	<u> </u>
I concur/do	not concur (circle) with the results of the evaluation.		
Comments:			
Dean		Date	
Original:	Vice President of Academic Affairs		
Copy:	Dean Faculty Member		

# ATTACHMENT E Supervisory Evaluation – Short Form

Faculty Member	Date
Rating Scale: Faculty performan	ce is
	3 = Exceeds expectations
	2 = Meets expectations
	1 = Below expectations
	NA = Not applicable (Please explain in comments.)
Directions: Indicate rating in the	e blank for each measure using the scale above.
1) Teaching	
Overall Teaching Effe	ectiveness
Comments	
2) Scholarship (Professional Act	
Overall Professional A	activity and Development
Comments	
3) Service to the University	
Overall Service to the	University
Comments	
- · · · · <u></u>	

4) Community Service	
Overall Community Service	
Comments	
5) Christian Commitment	
Overall Christian Commitment	
Comments	
Comments	
Signature of Evaluator	Date
I concur/do not concur (circle) with the results of the evaluation.	
Comments:	
Faculty Member	Date
I concur/do not concur (circle) with the results of the evaluation.	
reoleti/do not coneti (enere) with the results of the evaluation.	
Comments:	
Dean	Date
Domi	Date
Original: Vice President of Academic Affairs  Copy: Dean Faculty Member	