



STUDENT EVALUATION OF INSTRUCTION
Winter 2010-2011

STUDENT EVALUATION OF
FACULTY

WINTER TRIMESTER
2010-2011

TRADITION
HATTIESBURG

CONTENTS

TRADITION CAMPUS

SUMMARY

UNDERGRADUATE CLASSES

SUMMARY

GRADUATE CLASSES - CTE, MBA, MED, PSY

KEESLER

SUMMARY

UNDERGRADUATE CLASSES

SUMMARY

GRADUATE CLASSES - CTE

HATTIESBURG CAMPUS

SUMMARY

UNDERGRADUATE CLASS

NURSING CLINICALS

SUMMARY

TRADITION

SUMMARY

HATTIESBURG

TRADITION

CAMPUS

UNDERGRADUATE

CLASSES



**WILLIAM CAREY UNIVERSITY
FACULTY EVALUATION**

Instructor's Name _____

0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9

Please rate the instructor for this course on the following items

5=Excellent 4=Very Good 3=Good 2=Fair 1=Poor

1. Prepares well for class
2. Demonstrates professional competence
3. Communicates subject matter clearly
4. Stimulates interest in the subject
5. Encourages discussion
6. Gives timely feedback on exams, reports, and other course activities
7. Is accessible for help outside of class either in person, by phone, or email
8. Seems to care about students' learning
9. Meets class on time and for the full period

1	5	4	3	2	1
2	5	4	3	2	1
3	5	4	3	2	1
4	5	4	3	2	1
5	5	4	3	2	1
6	5	4	3	2	1
7	5	4	3	2	1
8	5	4	3	2	1
9	5	4	3	2	1
10	5	4	3	2	1
11	5	4	3	2	1
12	5	4	3	2	1
13	5	4	3	2	1
14	5	4	3	2	1
15	5	4	3	2	1
16	5	4	3	2	1
17	5	4	3	2	1
18	5	4	3	2	1
19	5	4	3	2	1
20	5	4	3	2	1
21	5	4	3	2	1
22	5	4	3	2	1
23	5	4	3	2	1
24	5	4	3	2	1
25	5	4	3	2	1
26	5	4	3	2	1
27	5	4	3	2	1
28	5	4	3	2	1
29	5	4	3	2	1
30	5	4	3	2	1
31	5	4	3	2	1
32	5	4	3	2	1
33	5	4	3	2	1
34	5	4	3	2	1
35	5	4	3	2	1

Please answer the following

12. A course syllabus was provided at the beginning of the term Yes (5) No (1)
13. I attended a community/junior college Yes (5) No (1)
14. Please indicate gender: Female (5) Male (1)
15. Grade I expect to make in this course A (5), B (4), C (3), D (2), F (1)

36	A	0	1	2	3	4
	B	0	1	2	3	4
37	A	0	1	2	3	
	B	0	1	2	3	

Revised 9/23/09

WILLIAM CAREY UNIVERSITY
TRADITION-WINTER 2010-2011
STUDENT EVALUATION OF THE FACULTY

Course: 000000000001 Year: 10 Class Enrollment: 0 School Enroll.: 0
 Course Desc.: HEA 3000.28 Semester: WT Class Respondents: 19 School Resp.: 696
 Instructor: Inst. No.: 000

Question	Course											School				
	5 Hi	4 %	3 %	2 %	1 Lo	Omit #	Resp #	Str %	Weak %	Mean	S.D.	%- tile	Str %	Weak %	Mean	S.D.
1 Prepares well for class	89	11	0	0	0	0	19	0	0	4.9	0.3	76	0	0	4.4	1.1
2 Demonstrates professional competenc	79	21	0	0	0	0	19	0	0	4.8	0.4	68	0	0	4.5	1.0
3 Communicates subject matter clearly	79	21	0	0	0	0	19	0	0	4.8	0.4	73	0	0	4.2	1.3
4 Stimulates interest in subject	84	16	0	0	0	0	19	0	0	4.8	0.4	73	0	0	4.3	1.2
5 Encourages discussion	84	16	0	0	0	0	19	0	0	4.8	0.4	72	0	0	4.4	1.0
6 Timely feedback exams/reports/activ	74	26	0	0	0	0	19	0	0	4.7	0.4	74	0	0	4.3	1.1
7 Is accessible outside of class	68	26	5	0	0	0	19	0	0	4.6	0.6	62	0	0	4.4	1.1
8 Cares about students learning	84	16	0	0	0	0	19	0	0	4.8	0.4	72	0	0	4.4	1.1
9 Meets class on time/the full period	68	32	0	0	0	0	19	0	0	4.7	0.5	48	0	0	4.7	0.7
10	100	0	0	0	0	18	1	0	0	5.0	0.0	60	0	0	4.9	0.3
11	100	0	0	0	0	18	1	0	0	5.0	0.0	64	0	0	4.7	1.0
12 Syllabus provided/beginning 5.Y-1.N	100	0	0	0	0	0	19	0	0	5.0	0.0	67	0	0	4.9	0.5
13 Attended Comm/Junior Coll. 5.Y-1.N	89	6	0	0	6	1	18	0	0	4.7	0.9	67	0	0	4.6	1.2
14 Female (5) Male(1)	95	0	0	0	5	0	19	0	0	4.8	0.9	80	0	0	4.2	1.6
15 Grade: 5.A, 4.B, 3.C, 2.D, 1.F	74	26	0	0	0	0	19	0	0	4.7	0.4	76	0	0	4.3	0.8
16	0	0	0	0	0	19	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	4.3	0.8
17	0	0	0	0	0	19	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	5.0	0.0
18	0	0	0	0	0	19	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	5.0	0.0
19	0	0	0	0	0	19	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	5.0	0.0
20	0	0	0	0	0	19	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	5.0	0.0
21	0	0	0	0	0	19	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	5.0	0.0
22	0	0	0	0	0	19	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	5.0	0.0
23	0	0	0	0	0	19	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	5.0	0.0
24	0	0	0	0	0	19	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	5.0	0.0
25	0	0	0	0	0	19	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	5.0	0.0
26	0	0	0	0	0	19	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	5.0	0.0
27	0	0	0	0	0	19	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	5.0	0.0
28	0	0	0	0	0	19	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	5.0	0.0
29	0	0	0	0	0	19	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	5.0	0.0
30	0	0	0	0	0	19	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	5.0	0.0
31	0	0	0	0	0	19	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	5.0	0.0
32	0	0	0	0	0	19	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	5.0	0.0
33	0	0	0	0	0	19	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	5.0	0.0
34	0	0	0	0	0	19	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	5.0	0.0
35	0	0	0	0	0	19	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	4.0	0.0

GRADUATE

CLASSES

CTE, MBA, MED, PSY



**WILLIAM CAREY UNIVERSITY
FACULTY EVALUATION**

Instructor's Name _____

Please rate the instructor for this course on the following items

5=Excellent 4=Very Good 3=Good 2=Fair 1=Poor

1. Prepares well for class
2. Demonstrates professional competence
3. Communicates subject matter clearly
4. Stimulates interest in the subject
5. Encourages discussion
6. Gives timely feedback on exams, reports, and other course activities
7. Is accessible for help outside of class either in person, by phone, or email
8. Seems to care about students' learning
9. Meets class on time and for the full period

Please answer the following

12. A course syllabus was provided at the beginning of the term Yes (5) No (1)
13. I attended a community/junior college Yes (5) No (1)
14. Please indicate gender: Female (5) Male (1)
15. Grade I expect to make in this course A (5), B (4), C (3), D (2), F (1)

0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9

1	5	4	3	2	1
2	5	4	3	2	1
3	5	4	3	2	1
4	5	4	3	2	1
5	5	4	3	2	1
6	5	4	3	2	1
7	5	4	3	2	1
8	5	4	3	2	1
9	5	4	3	2	1
10	5	4	3	2	1
11	5	4	3	2	1
12	5	4	3	2	1
13	5	4	3	2	1
14	5	4	3	2	1
15	5	4	3	2	1
16	5	4	3	2	1
17	5	4	3	2	1
18	5	4	3	2	1
19	5	4	3	2	1
20	5	4	3	2	1
21	5	4	3	2	1
22	5	4	3	2	1
23	5	4	3	2	1
24	5	4	3	2	1
25	5	4	3	2	1
26	5	4	3	2	1
27	5	4	3	2	1
28	5	4	3	2	1
29	5	4	3	2	1
30	5	4	3	2	1
31	5	4	3	2	1
32	5	4	3	2	1
33	5	4	3	2	1
34	5	4	3	2	1
35	5	4	3	2	1

36	A	0	1	2	3	4					
		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
	B	0	1	2	3	4					
		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
37	A	0	1	2	3						
		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
	B	0	1	2	3						
		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9

Revised 9/23/09

WILLIAM CAREY UNIVERSITY
GRADUATE TRADITION-WINTER 2010-2011
STUDENT EVALUATION OF THE FACULTY

Course: 000000000001 Year: 10 Class Enrollment: 0 School Enroll.: 0
 Course Desc.: EDU 5360.80 Semester: WT Class Respondents: 10 School Resp.: 535
 Instructor: Inst. No.: 000

Question	Course											School				
	5 Hi	4 %	3 %	2 %	1 Lo	Omit #	Resp #	Str %	Weak %	Mean	S.D.	%- tile	Str %	Weak %	Mean	S.D.
1	100	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	5.0	0.0	74	0	0	4.7	0.7
2	100	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	5.0	0.0	75	0	0	4.8	0.6
3	90	10	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	4.9	0.3	75	0	0	4.5	0.9
4	100	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	5.0	0.0	81	0	0	4.5	0.9
5	100	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	5.0	0.0	80	0	0	4.7	0.7
6	89	11	0	0	0	1	9	0	0	4.9	0.3	71	0	0	4.5	1.0
7	80	20	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	4.8	0.4	62	0	0	4.7	0.7
8	90	10	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	4.9	0.3	65	0	0	4.7	0.7
9	100	0	0	0	0	1	9	0	0	5.0	0.0	72	0	0	4.8	0.5
10	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	4.9	0.3
11	0	0	0	0	0	-10	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	4.3	1.5
12	100	0	0	0	0	1	9	0	0	5.0	0.0	64	0	0	5.0	0.4
13	56	11	0	0	33	1	9	0	0	3.6	1.8	25	0	0	4.0	1.7
14	78	0	0	0	22	1	9	0	0	4.1	1.7	55	0	0	4.0	1.7
15	100	0	0	0	0	1	9	0	0	5.0	0.0	80	0	0	4.8	0.5
16	100	0	0	0	0	9	1	0	0	5.0	0.0	80	0	0	4.6	0.5
17	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	5.0	0.0
18	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	0.0	0.0
19	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	0.0	0.0
20	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	0.0	0.0
21	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	0.0	0.0
22	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	0.0	0.0
23	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	0.0	0.0
24	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	0.0	0.0
25	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	5.0	0.0
26	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	0.0	0.0
27	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	0.0	0.0
28	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	0.0	0.0
29	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	0.0	0.0
30	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	0.0	0.0
31	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	0.0	0.0
32	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	0.0	0.0
33	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	0.0	0.0
34	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	0.0	0.0
35	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	0.0	0.0

KEESLER

UNDERGRADUATE

CLASSES

**WILLIAM CAREY UNIVERSITY
FACULTY EVALUATION**

Instructor's Name _____

Please rate the instructor for this course on the following items

5=Excellent 4=Very Good 3=Good 2=Fair 1=Poor

1. Prepares well for class
2. Demonstrates professional competence
3. Communicates subject matter clearly
4. Stimulates interest in the subject
5. Encourages discussion
6. Gives timely feedback on exams, reports, and other course activities
7. Is accessible for help outside of class either in person, by phone, or email
8. Seems to care about students' learning
9. Meets class on time and for the full period

Please answer the following

12. A course syllabus was provided at the beginning of the term Yes (5) No (1)
13. I attended a community/junior college Yes (5) No (1)
14. Please indicate gender: Female (5) Male (1)
15. Grade I expect to make in this course A (5), B (4), C (3), D (2), F (1)

0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9

1	5	4	3	2	1
2	5	4	3	2	1
3	5	4	3	2	1
4	5	4	3	2	1
5	5	4	3	2	1
6	5	4	3	2	1
7	5	4	3	2	1
8	5	4	3	2	1
9	5	4	3	2	1
10	5	4	3	2	1
11	5	4	3	2	1
12	5	4	3	2	1
13	5	4	3	2	1
14	5	4	3	2	1
15	5	4	3	2	1
16	5	4	3	2	1
17	5	4	3	2	1
18	5	4	3	2	1
19	5	4	3	2	1
20	5	4	3	2	1
21	5	4	3	2	1
22	5	4	3	2	1
23	5	4	3	2	1
24	5	4	3	2	1
25	5	4	3	2	1
26	5	4	3	2	1
27	5	4	3	2	1
28	5	4	3	2	1
29	5	4	3	2	1
30	5	4	3	2	1
31	5	4	3	2	1
32	5	4	3	2	1
33	5	4	3	2	1
34	5	4	3	2	1
35	5	4	3	2	1

36	A	0	1	2	3	4					
		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
	B	0	1	2	3	4					
		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
37	A	0	1	2	3						
		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
	B	0	1	2	3						
		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9

Revised 9/23/09

WILLIAM CAREY UNIVERSITY
 KEESLER AFB-WINTER 2010-2011
 STUDENT EVALUATION OF THE FACULTY

Course: 000000000005 Year: 10 Class Enrollment: 0 School Enroll.: 0
 Course Desc.: PSY 3510.78 Semester: WT Class Respondents: 22 School Resp.: 104
 Instructor: Inst. No.: 000

Question	Course											School				
	5 Hi	4 %	3 %	2 %	1 Lo	Omit #	Resp #	Str %	Weak %	Mean	S.D.	%- tile	Str %	Weak %	Mean	S.D.
1 Prepares well for class	86	14	0	0	0	0	22	0	0	4.9	0.3	53	0	0	4.9	0.4
2 Demonstrates professional competenc	95	5	0	0	0	0	22	0	0	5.0	0.2	58	0	0	4.9	0.3
3 Communicates subject matter clearly	91	9	0	0	0	0	22	0	0	4.9	0.3	59	0	0	4.8	0.5
4 Stimulates interest in subject	91	9	0	0	0	0	22	0	0	4.9	0.3	66	0	0	4.7	0.6
5 Encourages discussion	95	5	0	0	0	0	22	0	0	5.0	0.2	67	0	0	4.8	0.7
6 Timely feedback exams/reports/activ	100	0	0	0	0	0	22	0	0	5.0	0.0	78	0	0	4.7	0.6
7 Is accessible outside of class	100	0	0	0	0	0	22	0	0	5.0	0.0	78	0	0	4.8	0.6
8 Cares about students learning	91	9	0	0	0	0	22	0	0	4.9	0.3	61	0	0	4.8	0.5
9 Meets class on time/the full period	95	0	5	0	0	0	22	0	0	4.9	0.4	64	0	0	4.9	0.3
10	100	0	0	0	0	19	3	0	0	5.0	0.0	50	0	0	5.0	0.0
11	100	0	0	0	0	20	2	0	0	5.0	0.0	50	0	0	5.0	0.0
12 Syllabus provided/beginning 5.Y-1.N	100	0	0	0	0	1	21	0	0	5.0	0.0	50	0	0	5.0	0.0
13 Attended Comm/Junior Coll. 5.Y-1.N	90	0	0	0	10	2	20	0	0	4.6	1.2	27	0	0	4.7	1.0
14 Female (5) Male(1)	100	0	0	0	0	3	19	0	0	5.0	0.0	93	0	0	4.0	1.7
15 Grade: 5.A, 4.B, 3.C, 2.D, 1.F	74	26	0	0	0	3	19	0	0	4.7	0.4	63	0	0	4.6	0.7
16	0	0	0	0	0	22	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	4.0	0.0
17	0	0	0	0	0	22	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	0.0	0.0
18	0	0	0	0	0	22	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	0.0	0.0
19	0	0	0	0	0	22	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	0.0	0.0
20	0	0	0	0	0	22	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	0.0	0.0
21	0	0	0	0	0	22	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	0.0	0.0
22	0	0	0	0	0	22	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	0.0	0.0
23	0	0	0	0	0	22	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	0.0	0.0
24	0	0	0	0	0	22	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	0.0	0.0
25	0	0	0	0	0	22	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	0.0	0.0
26	0	0	0	0	0	22	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	0.0	0.0
27	0	0	0	0	0	22	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	0.0	0.0
28	0	0	0	0	0	22	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	0.0	0.0
29	0	0	0	0	0	22	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	0.0	0.0
30	0	0	0	0	0	22	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	0.0	0.0
31	0	0	0	0	0	22	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	0.0	0.0
32	0	0	0	0	0	22	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	0.0	0.0
33	0	0	0	0	0	22	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	0.0	0.0
34	0	0	0	0	0	22	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	0.0	0.0
35	0	0	0	0	0	22	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	0.0	0.0

GRADUATE

CLASSES

CTE

**WILLIAM CAREY UNIVERSITY
FACULTY EVALUATION**

Instructor's Name _____

Please rate the instructor for this course on the following items

5=Excellent 4=Very Good 3=Good 2=Fair 1=Poor

1. Prepares well for class
2. Demonstrates professional competence
3. Communicates subject matter clearly
4. Stimulates interest in the subject
5. Encourages discussion
6. Gives timely feedback on exams, reports, and other course activities
7. Is accessible for help outside of class either in person, by phone, or email
8. Seems to care about students' learning
9. Meets class on time and for the full period

Please answer the following

12. A course syllabus was provided at the beginning of the term Yes (5) No (1)
13. I attended a community/junior college Yes (5) No (1)
14. Please indicate gender: Female (5) Male (1)
15. Grade I expect to make in this course A (5), B (4), C (3), D (2), F (1)

0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9

1	5	4	3	2	1
2	5	4	3	2	1
3	5	4	3	2	1
4	5	4	3	2	1
5	5	4	3	2	1
6	5	4	3	2	1
7	5	4	3	2	1
8	5	4	3	2	1
9	5	4	3	2	1
10	5	4	3	2	1
11	5	4	3	2	1
12	5	4	3	2	1
13	5	4	3	2	1
14	5	4	3	2	1
15	5	4	3	2	1
16	5	4	3	2	1
17	5	4	3	2	1
18	5	4	3	2	1
19	5	4	3	2	1
20	5	4	3	2	1
21	5	4	3	2	1
22	5	4	3	2	1
23	5	4	3	2	1
24	5	4	3	2	1
25	5	4	3	2	1
26	5	4	3	2	1
27	5	4	3	2	1
28	5	4	3	2	1
29	5	4	3	2	1
30	5	4	3	2	1
31	5	4	3	2	1
32	5	4	3	2	1
33	5	4	3	2	1
34	5	4	3	2	1
35	5	4	3	2	1

36	A	0	1	2	3	4					
		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
	B	0	1	2	3	4					
		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
37	A	0	1	2	3						
		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
	B	0	1	2	3						
		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9

Revised 9/23/09

WILLIAM CAREY UNIVERSITY
GRADUATE KEESLER AFB/-WINTER 2010-2011
STUDENT EVALUATION OF THE FACULTY

Course: 000000000001 Year: 10 Class Enrollment: 0 School Enroll.: 0
 Course Desc.: CTE 6420.80 Semester: WT Class Respondents: 3 School Resp.: 3
 Instructor: Inst. No.: 000

Question	Course											School				
	5 Hi	4 %	3 %	2 %	1 Lo	Omit #	Resp #	Str %	Weak %	Mean	S.D.	%- tile	Str %	Weak %	Mean	S.D.
1 Prepares well for class	67	33	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	4.7	0.5	50	0	0	4.7	0.5
2 Demonstrates professional competenc	67	33	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	4.7	0.5	50	0	0	4.7	0.5
3 Communicates subject matter clearly	67	0	33	0	0	0	3	0	0	4.3	0.9	50	0	0	4.3	0.9
4 Stimulates interest in subject	67	33	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	4.7	0.5	50	0	0	4.7	0.5
5 Encourages discussion	67	33	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	4.7	0.5	50	0	0	4.7	0.5
6 Timely feedback exams/reports/activ	67	33	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	4.7	0.5	50	0	0	4.7	0.5
7 Is accessible outside of class	100	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	5.0	0.0	50	0	0	5.0	0.0
8 Cares about students learning	100	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	5.0	0.0	50	0	0	5.0	0.0
9 Meets class on time/the full period	100	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	5.0	0.0	50	0	0	5.0	0.0
10	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	0.0	0.0
11	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	0.0	0.0
12 Syllabus provided/beginning 5.Y-1.N	100	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	5.0	0.0	50	0	0	5.0	0.0
13 Attended Comm/Junior Coll. 5.Y-1.N	100	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	5.0	0.0	50	0	0	5.0	0.0
14 Female (5) Male(1)	67	0	0	0	33	0	3	0	0	3.7	1.9	50	0	0	3.7	1.9
15 Grade: 5.A, 4.B, 3.C, 2.D, 1.F	67	33	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	4.7	0.5	50	0	0	4.7	0.5
16	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	0.0	0.0
17	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	0.0	0.0
18	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	0.0	0.0
19	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	0.0	0.0
20	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	0.0	0.0
21	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	0.0	0.0
22	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	0.0	0.0
23	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	0.0	0.0
24	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	0.0	0.0
25	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	0.0	0.0
26	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	0.0	0.0
27	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	0.0	0.0
28	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	0.0	0.0
29	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	0.0	0.0
30	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	0.0	0.0
31	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	0.0	0.0
32	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	0.0	0.0
33	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	0.0	0.0
34	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	0.0	0.0
35	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	0.0	0.0

HATTIESBURG

CAMPUS

UNDERGRADUATE

CLASSES

WILLIAM CAREY UNIVERSITY
HATTIESBURG-WINTER 2010-2011
STUDENT EVALUATION OF THE FACULTY

Course: 00000000001 Year: 10 Class Enrollment: 0 School Enroll.: 0
 Course Desc.: NUR 3080.15 Semester: WT Class Respondents: 24 School Resp.: 180
 Instructor: Inst. No.: 000

Question	Course											School				
	5 Hi	4 %	3 %	2 %	1 Lo	Omit #	Resp #	Str %	Weak %	Mean	S.D.	%- tile	Str %	Weak %	Mean	S.D.
1 Prepares well for class	79	21	0	0	0	0	24	0	0	4.8	0.4	56	0	0	4.7	0.7
2 Demonstrates professional competenc	83	13	4	0	0	0	24	0	0	4.8	0.5	54	0	0	4.7	0.7
3 Communicates subject matter clearly	75	17	8	0	0	0	24	0	0	4.7	0.6	54	0	0	4.6	0.9
4 Stimulates interest in subject	71	21	8	0	0	0	24	0	0	4.6	0.6	48	0	0	4.6	0.8
5 Encourages discussion	83	13	4	0	0	0	24	0	0	4.8	0.5	59	0	0	4.7	0.7
6 Timely feedback exams/reports/activ	67	25	8	0	0	0	24	0	0	4.6	0.6	37	0	0	4.7	0.7
7 Is accessible outside of class	65	13	17	0	4	1	23	0	0	4.3	1.0	28	0	0	4.6	0.9
8 Cares about students learning	75	13	8	0	4	0	24	0	0	4.5	1.0	42	0	0	4.6	0.9
9 Meets class on time/the full period	88	13	0	0	0	0	24	0	0	4.9	0.3	33	0	0	4.9	0.3
10	100	0	0	0	0	21	3	0	0	5.0	0.0	50	0	0	5.0	0.0
11	100	0	0	0	0	22	2	0	0	5.0	0.0	76	0	0	4.1	1.6
12 Syllabus provided/beginning 5.Y-1.N	100	0	0	0	0	1	23	0	0	5.0	0.0	50	0	0	5.0	0.0
13 Attended Comm/Junior Coll. 5.Y-1.N	52	0	0	0	48	1	23	0	0	3.1	2.0	15	0	0	3.8	1.8
14 Female (5) Male(1)	90	0	0	0	10	3	21	0	0	4.6	1.2	57	0	0	4.6	1.3
15 Grade: 5.A, 4.B, 3.C, 2.D, 1.F	86	14	0	0	0	3	21	0	0	4.9	0.3	76	0	0	4.6	0.5
16	0	0	0	0	0	24	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	0.0	0.0
17	0	0	0	0	0	24	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	0.0	0.0
18	0	0	0	0	0	24	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	0.0	0.0
19	0	0	0	0	0	24	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	0.0	0.0
20	0	0	0	0	0	24	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	0.0	0.0
21	0	0	0	0	0	24	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	0.0	0.0
22	0	0	0	0	0	24	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	0.0	0.0
23	0	0	0	0	0	24	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	0.0	0.0
24	0	0	0	0	0	24	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	0.0	0.0
25	0	0	0	0	0	24	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	0.0	0.0
26	0	0	0	0	0	24	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	0.0	0.0
27	0	0	0	0	0	24	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	0.0	0.0
28	0	0	0	0	0	24	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	0.0	0.0
29	0	0	0	0	0	24	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	0.0	0.0
30	0	0	0	0	0	24	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	0.0	0.0
31	0	0	0	0	0	24	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	0.0	0.0
32	0	0	0	0	0	24	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	0.0	0.0
33	0	0	0	0	0	24	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	0.0	0.0
34	0	0	0	0	0	24	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	0.0	0.0
35	0	0	0	0	0	24	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	0.0	0.0

**NURSING
CLINICALS**

TRADITION

CLINICAL

Instructor's Name _____

0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9

STUDENT EVALUATION OF CLINICAL AGENCY

5 = EXCELLENT 4=VERY GOOD 3=GOOD 2=FAIR 1=POOR

1. Meets class on time and for the full period
2. Philosophy and purposes of the agency were congruent with those of WCU School of Nursing
3. A positive and cooperative interest towards students, faculty, and educational activities existed
4. Appropriate client records existed, were maintained and accessible
5. Written policies and procedures were current and available
6. Reference materials were current and available to students
7. Client care problems were sufficient in variety to meet my learning needs
8. Written nursing care plans on each client were maintained and accessible
9. The nursing staff served as professional role models appropriately
10. Auxiliary services (cafeteria, rest rooms, etc.) were available and accessible
11. Standards of client care were appropriate to develop concepts and competencies in nursing congruent with objectives of WCU School of Nursing

1	5	4	3	2	1
2	5	4	3	2	1
3	5	4	3	2	1
4	5	4	3	2	1
5	5	4	3	2	1
6	5	4	3	2	1
7	5	4	3	2	1
8	5	4	3	2	1
9	5	4	3	2	1
10	5	4	3	2	1
11	5	4	3	2	1
12	5	4	3	2	1
13	5	4	3	2	1
14	5	4	3	2	1
15	5	4	3	2	1
16	5	4	3	2	1
17	5	4	3	2	1
18	5	4	3	2	1
19	5	4	3	2	1
20	5	4	3	2	1
21	5	4	3	2	1
22	5	4	3	2	1
23	5	4	3	2	1
24	5	4	3	2	1
25	5	4	3	2	1
26	5	4	3	2	1
27	5	4	3	2	1
28	5	4	3	2	1
29	5	4	3	2	1
30	5	4	3	2	1
31	5	4	3	2	1
32	5	4	3	2	1
33	5	4	3	2	1
34	5	4	3	2	1
35	5	4	3	2	1

STUDENT EVALUATION OF INSTRUCTOR THE CLINICAL SETTING

16. Oriented me to the physical layout of the facility, rules and regulations
17. Clearly communicated his/her expectations and clinical guidelines
18. Assigned clients suited to my skill level
19. Assisted me with new equipment and skills according to my level of skill development
20. Provided guidance and was readily available to assist me
21. Encouraged me to develop independence and self-direction in my nursing skills
22. Maintained open communication
23. Fostered a teaming atmosphere
24. Offered opportunity to improve my clinical practice before final evaluation
25. Utilized clinical conferences as a means of clarifying and discussing theory as related to clinical practice
26. Established a clinical conference environment conducive to discussion and expression of feelings
27. Demonstrated command of clinical skills
28. Was a positive role model for professional nursing

33. I attended a Junior College Yes (5) No (1)
34. Grade I expect to make in this course: A (5) B (4) C (3) D (2) F (1)
35. Female (5) Male (1)

Comments:

36	A	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
	B	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
37	A	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
	B	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9

WILLIAM CAREY UNIVERSITY
NURSING CLINICALS/TRADITION/WINTER 2010-2011
STUDENT EVALUATION OF NURSING CLINICAL

Course: 000000000001 Year: 10 Class Enrollment: 0 School Enroll.: 0
 Course Desc.: NUR 306L.25.3 Semester: WT Class Respondents: 8 School Resp.: 50
 Instructor: Inst. No.: 000

Question	Course											School				
	5 Hi	4 %	3 %	2 %	1 Lo	Omit #	Resp #	Str %	Weak %	Mean	S.D.	%- tile	Str %	Weak %	Mean	S.D.
1 Meets class on time/full period	100	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	5.0	0.0	86	0	0	4.8	0.6
2 Philosophy and purposes/congruent	63	38	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	4.6	0.5	41	0	0	4.7	0.7
3 Positive and cooperative interest	88	0	0	13	0	0	8	0	0	4.6	1.0	77	0	0	4.2	1.1
4 Client records existed/accessible	100	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	5.0	0.0	90	0	0	4.6	0.7
5 Policies & procedures/available	100	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	5.0	0.0	87	0	0	4.7	0.6
6 Reference materials available	88	0	13	0	0	0	8	0	0	4.8	0.7	74	0	0	4.5	0.9
7 Client care problems/sufficient	88	13	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	4.9	0.3	87	0	0	4.3	1.0
8 Written nursing care plans	63	25	13	0	0	0	8	0	0	4.5	0.7	64	0	0	4.4	0.9
9 Nursing staff/role models	25	63	13	0	0	0	8	0	0	4.1	0.6	18	0	0	4.4	0.8
10 Auxiliary services available	86	0	14	0	0	1	7	0	0	4.7	0.7	56	0	0	4.7	0.7
11 Standards of client care	63	13	25	0	0	0	8	0	0	4.4	0.9	23	0	0	4.5	0.8
12	100	0	0	0	0	7	1	0	0	5.0	0.0	84	0	0	4.5	0.0
13	0	100	0	0	0	7	1	0	0	4.0	0.0	50	0	0	4.0	0.0
14	100	0	0	0	0	7	1	0	0	5.0	0.0	50	0	0	5.0	0.0
15	100	0	0	0	0	7	1	0	0	5.0	0.0	76	0	0	4.7	0.5
16 Oriented to physical layout	100	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	5.0	0.0	87	0	0	4.6	0.9
17 Clearly communicated/expectation	88	13	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	4.9	0.3	86	0	0	4.4	1.0
18 Assigned clients/skill level	88	13	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	4.9	0.3	84	0	0	4.3	1.0
19 Assisted me/new equipment/skills	88	13	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	4.9	0.3	85	0	0	4.2	1.2
20 Provided guidance/available	100	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	5.0	0.0	89	0	0	4.1	1.2
21 Encouraged /independence/skills	100	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	5.0	0.0	86	0	0	4.1	1.2
22 Maintained open communication	100	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	5.0	0.0	86	0	0	4.1	1.3
23 Fostered a learning atmosphere	100	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	5.0	0.0	86	0	0	4.2	1.3
24 Opportunity/improve/clinical	88	13	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	4.9	0.3	86	0	0	4.1	1.2
25 Utilized clinical conferences	100	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	5.0	0.0	88	0	0	4.4	1.0
26 Clinical conference environment	100	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	5.0	0.0	86	0	0	4.2	1.2
27 Demonstrated /clinical skills	100	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	5.0	0.0	87	0	0	4.3	1.2
28 Positive role model/nursing	100	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	5.0	0.0	86	0	0	4.1	1.4
29	100	0	0	0	0	7	1	0	0	5.0	0.0	50	0	0	5.0	0.0
30	100	0	0	0	0	7	1	0	0	5.0	0.0	50	0	0	5.0	0.0
31	100	0	0	0	0	7	1	0	0	5.0	0.0	50	0	0	5.0	0.0
32	100	0	0	0	0	7	1	0	0	5.0	0.0	84	0	0	3.0	0.0
33 Junior College Yes(5) No(1)	88	0	0	0	13	0	8	0	0	4.5	1.3	43	0	0	4.6	1.2
34 Grade: A(5) B(4) C(3) D(2) F(1)	38	63	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	4.4	0.5	63	0	0	4.3	0.8
35 Female (5) Male (1)	100	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	5.0	0.0	77	0	0	4.6	1.2

**NURSING
CLINICALS**

HATTIESBURG

CLINICAL

Instructor's Name _____

0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9

STUDENT EVALUATION OF CLINICAL AGENCY

5 = EXCELLENT 4=VERY GOOD 3=GOOD 2=FAIR 1=POOR

1. Meets class on time and for the full period
2. Philosophy and purposes of the agency were congruent with those of WCU School of Nursing
3. A positive and cooperative interest towards students, faculty, and educational activities existed
4. Appropriate client records existed, were maintained and accessible
5. Written policies and procedures were current and available
6. Reference materials were current and available to students
7. Client care problems were sufficient in variety to meet my learning needs
8. Written nursing care plans on each client were maintained and accessible
9. The nursing staff served as professional role models appropriately
10. Auxiliary services (cafeteria, rest rooms, etc.) were available and accessible
11. Standards of client care were appropriate to develop concepts and competencies in nursing congruent with objectives of WCU School of Nursing

1	5	4	3	2	1
2	5	4	3	2	1
3	5	4	3	2	1
4	5	4	3	2	1
5	5	4	3	2	1
6	5	4	3	2	1
7	5	4	3	2	1
8	5	4	3	2	1
9	5	4	3	2	1
10	5	4	3	2	1
11	5	4	3	2	1
12	5	4	3	2	1
13	5	4	3	2	1
14	5	4	3	2	1
15	5	4	3	2	1
16	5	4	3	2	1
17	5	4	3	2	1
18	5	4	3	2	1
19	5	4	3	2	1
20	5	4	3	2	1
21	5	4	3	2	1
22	5	4	3	2	1
23	5	4	3	2	1
24	5	4	3	2	1
25	5	4	3	2	1
26	5	4	3	2	1
27	5	4	3	2	1
28	5	4	3	2	1
29	5	4	3	2	1
30	5	4	3	2	1
31	5	4	3	2	1
32	5	4	3	2	1
33	5	4	3	2	1
34	5	4	3	2	1
35	5	4	3	2	1

STUDENT EVALUATION OF INSTRUCTOR THE CLINICAL SETTING

16. Oriented me to the physical layout of the facility, rules and regulations
17. Clearly communicated his/her expectations and clinical guidelines
18. Assigned clients suited to my skill level
19. Assisted me with new equipment and skills according to my level of skill development
20. Provided guidance and was readily available to assist me
21. Encouraged me to develop independence and self-direction in my nursing skills
22. Maintained open communication
23. Fostered a teaming atmosphere
24. Offered opportunity to improve my clinical practice before final evaluation
25. Utilized clinical conferences as a means of clarifying and discussing theory as related to clinical practice
26. Established a clinical conference environment conducive to discussion and expression of feelings
27. Demonstrated command of clinical skills
28. Was a positive role model for professional nursing

33. I attended a Junior College Yes (5) No (1)
34. Grade I expect to make in this course: A (5) B (4) C (3) D (2) F (1)
35. Female (5) Male (1)

Comments:

36	A	0	1	2	3	4					
		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
	B	0	1	2	3	4					
		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
37	A	0	1	2	3						
		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
	B	0	1	2	3						
		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9

WILLIAM CAREY UNIVERSITY
NURSING CLINICALS/HATTIESBURG/WINTER 2010-2011
STUDENT EVALUATION OF NURSING CLINICAL

Course: 000000000001 Year: 10 Class Enrollment: 0 School Enroll.: 0
 Course Desc.: NUR 326L.15.2 Semester: WT Class Respondents: 8 School Resp.: 46
 Instructor: Inst. No.: 000

Question	Course											School					
	5	4	3	2	1	Omit	Resp	Str	Weak	Mean	S.D.	%-	Str	Weak	Mean	S.D.	
	Hi	%	%	%	Lo	#	#	%	%			tile	%	%			
1	Meets class on time/full period	100	0	0	0	0	1	7	0	0	5.0	0.0	78	0	0	4.6	0.8
2	Philosophy and purposes/congruent	100	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	5.0	0.0	89	0	0	4.6	0.7
3	Positive and cooperative interest	100	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	5.0	0.0	79	0	0	4.3	1.1
4	Client records existed/accessible	100	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	5.0	0.0	86	0	0	4.4	0.9
5	Policies & procedures/available	100	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	5.0	0.0	81	0	0	4.2	1.2
6	Reference materials available	100	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	5.0	0.0	81	0	0	4.1	1.3
7	Client care problems/sufficient	100	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	5.0	0.0	89	0	0	4.4	0.8
8	Written nursing care plans	100	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	5.0	0.0	83	0	0	4.0	1.2
9	Nursing staff/role models	88	13	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	4.9	0.3	83	0	0	4.2	0.9
10	Auxiliary services available	100	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	5.0	0.0	96	0	0	4.7	0.6
11	Standards of client care	100	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	5.0	0.0	84	0	0	4.4	0.8
12		100	0	0	0	0	7	1	0	0	5.0	0.0	71	0	0	4.8	0.4
13		100	0	0	0	0	7	1	0	0	5.0	0.0	50	0	0	5.0	0.0
14		100	0	0	0	0	7	1	0	0	5.0	0.0	50	0	0	5.0	0.0
15		100	0	0	0	0	7	1	0	0	5.0	0.0	50	0	0	5.0	0.0
16	Oriented to physical layout	100	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	5.0	0.0	77	0	0	4.2	1.3
17	Clearly communicated/expectation	100	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	5.0	0.0	84	0	0	4.3	1.0
18	Assigned clients/skill level	100	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	5.0	0.0	85	0	0	4.6	0.7
19	Assisted me/new equipment/skills	100	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	5.0	0.0	80	0	0	4.0	1.4
20	Provided guidance/available	100	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	5.0	0.0	83	0	0	4.0	1.3
21	Encouraged /independence/skills	100	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	5.0	0.0	88	0	0	4.4	0.9
22	Maintained open communication	100	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	5.0	0.0	87	0	0	4.2	1.1
23	Fostered a learning atmosphere	100	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	5.0	0.0	89	0	0	4.3	1.1
24	Opportunity/improve/clinical	100	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	5.0	0.0	86	0	0	4.3	1.1
25	Utilized clinical conferences	100	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	5.0	0.0	84	0	0	4.4	1.1
26	Clinical conference environment	100	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	5.0	0.0	85	0	0	4.3	1.1
27	Demonstrated /clinical skills	100	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	5.0	0.0	81	0	0	4.2	1.2
28	Positive role model/nursing	100	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	5.0	0.0	85	0	0	4.3	1.1
29		0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	5.0	0.0
30		0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	5.0	0.0
31		0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	5.0	0.0
32		0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0.0	0.0		0	0	5.0	0.0
33	Junior College Yes(5) No(1)	75	0	0	0	25	0	8	0	0	4.0	1.7	71	0	0	3.6	1.9
34	Grade: A(5) B(4) C(3) D(2) F(1)	0	100	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	4.0	0.0	26	0	0	4.2	0.6
35	Female (5) Male (1)	75	0	0	0	25	0	8	0	0	4.0	1.7	6	0	0	4.6	1.1

COPIES:

ONE	<i>Dr. Tommy King</i>	PRESIDENT AND CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER
SIX	<i>Dr. Garry Breland</i>	VICE-PRESIDENT OF ACADEMIC AFFAIRS
ONE	<i>Dr. Bennie R. Crockett, Jr.</i>	VICE PRESIDENT OF INSTITUTIONAL EFFECTIVENESS
ONE	<i>Dr. Janet Williams</i>	INTERIM, DEAN OF NURSING

PREPARED BY: INSTITUTIONAL RESEARCH

DELIVERED: February 22, 2011

WILLIAM T. RIVERO

SUSAN G. CURRY